

For qualified investors only
December 2018

PAST, PRESENT, FUTURE

Andrew Greenup, Deputy Head of Global Listed Infrastructure
George Thornely, Investment Manager



Introduction

The past decade has witnessed the birth of a new asset class: Global Listed Infrastructure Securities (GLIS). While investors have embraced infrastructure as an asset class since the 1990s, the idea of investing in infrastructure via listed securities was developed by a small number of Australian asset managers in 2005-2007.

GLIS is now widely acknowledged as a standalone asset class by asset consultants, investors and the funds management industry. Today we estimate funds under management in GLIS to stand at around US\$100 billion; not bad from a standing start just a decade ago.

This paper (1) reviews the performance the asset class has achieved for investors over the past 10 years; (2) analyses the steady increase in investible assets; (3) reviews major trends that have affected GLIS thus far and (4) looks ahead to the main themes we expect will impact GLIS over the next decade.

Performance is everything

GLIS offers investors a liquid and globally diversified means of gaining exposure to essential service infrastructure assets. These assets are relatively uncorrelated with other asset classes, provide investors with the opportunity to generate inflation linked income and have the potential for modest capital growth. GLIS has become a successful standalone asset class because historically its risk-adjusted investment returns have exceeded investors' expectations.


Use of GLIS within investor portfolios has varied over time. Initially we saw GLIS used as a defensive, low volatility equity. This expanded to see it used as a potential source of income, as declining bond yields increased the relative appeal of its growing dividend streams.

Most recently, we have seen listed infrastructure form part of the real assets segment of investors' portfolios, due to the nature of its long-life, hard assets and ability to offer insulation from the effects of inflation.

We have also seen investors utilise GLIS as a diversified, liquid and lower fee alternative to unlisted infrastructure allocations.

Since the strategy's inception in June 2007¹, the GLIS asset class has generated returns of 6%² p.a. with a standard deviation of return of 13% pa. This compares favourably to global equities (4% pa³), global property securities (1% pa⁴), Master Limited Partnerships (MLPs, 4% pa⁵) and global bonds (3% pa⁶) although please do remember that past performance is not indicative of future returns.


Global Listed Infrastructure Relative Risk/Return



Monthly data from June 2007 to November 2018.
Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

Relative to inflation, GLIS has provided investors with returns equivalent to Consumer Price Index (CPI) plus 5% pa over this period.

GLIS performance compared with CPI



Infrastructure: FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 Total Return Index in USD.
CPI: US CPI Urban Consumers SA. Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

These risk-adjusted returns were delivered by a portfolio of listed companies that own essential service infrastructure assets that have high barriers entry, strong pricing power, structural or predicted sustainable growth and predictable cash flows.

In addition to providing investors with an attractive beta (beta is a measure of volatility relative to the market as a whole), specialist fund managers in the GLIS asset class have been able to deliver positive returns through a wide range of market conditions. For example, First State Investments' global listed infrastructure strategy⁷ has outperformed its index by over 2% pa since inception in November 2007⁸. The strategy has delivered consistent outperformance, beating its benchmark index in 9 of the 10 full calendar years since its inception. Please remember that past performance is not a guide to future performance.

Growth in investible assets for GLIS

The amount of investible assets within the GLIS asset class has grown significantly in the past decade, in terms of both number and size of companies to invest in. This growth has come from three main areas: corporate restructurings; government privatisations; and new equity needed to grow existing infrastructure businesses.

Corporate restructurings

Many infrastructure assets reside within large, vertically integrated corporations, conglomerates and private equity. In the lower growth world post the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), companies have increasingly looked to streamline and optimise their capital structures. This has often led to the divestment of their highly valuable infrastructure assets. Key examples of this include⁹:

- Integrated oil and gas majors BP, Royal Dutch Shell, Total, Exxon Mobil, Canadian Natural Resources et al selling energy pipeline and distribution infrastructure assets to Enterprise Products Partners, Enbridge Inc., Pembina Pipeline, UGI Corp and Rubis
- Telecommunication firms including AT&T, Verizon, Sprint, T-Mobile, Telefonica, Telecom Italia and Bharti Airtel selling mobile towers to American Tower, Crown Castle, SBA Communications, Cellnex, Inwit and Bharti Infratel
- Shipping companies CMA CGM, Maersk, China Shipping and COSCO Shipping divesting container terminals to China Merchants Port Holdings and COSCO Shipping Ports
- Construction companies including ACS, OHL and Odebrecht divesting various infrastructure assets including toll roads, ports and renewables to focused infrastructure firms including Vinci and DP World

¹ To 30 November 2018

² As measured by the FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 index, Net TR, USD

³ As measured by the MSCI World Net TR USD

⁴ As measured by the FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Developed Index, Net TR, USD

⁵ As measured by the Alerian MLP TR Index, USD

⁶ As measured by the Bloomberg Barclays Global-Aggregate TR Index Value Unhedged, USD

⁷ First State global listed infrastructure composite, USD unhedged, gross of fees

⁸ To 31 October 2018

⁹ For illustrative purposes only. Reference to the names of each company mentioned in this communication is merely for explaining the investment strategy, and should not be construed as investment advice or investment recommendation of those companies. Companies mentioned herein may or may not form part of the holdings of First State Investments.

- Conglomerate restructurings resulting in the divestment of infrastructure assets into the listed market including Hutchison Ports, American Water Works, Westports and the Longhorn pipeline sale to Magellan Midstream Partners
- Private equity selling infrastructure assets into the listed market. Examples include US private jet airport operator Landmark being sold to the listed company BBA Aviation; and the Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) of the world's second largest satellite owner, Intelsat, and fourth largest US waste utility, Advanced Disposal Services.

Government privatisations

The second major source of additional GLIS assets has been the privatisation of government owned infrastructure. Government debt has risen significantly post the GFC. This, combined with increased government spending on healthcare and social security, has led governments to dispose of high quality infrastructure assets to help maintain financial stability. Key examples of this around the world include:

- Europe: AENA (Spanish airport owner), Hamburger Hafen und Logistik (Germany's largest port), Nice Airport, Bologna Airport, Rai Way (Italian communications towers), Enav (Italy's airport control operator), Piraeus Port (Greece's largest port), Greece's airports (ex-Athens), PKP Cargo (Poland's freight railway)
- Asia: DP World (Dubai's global port operator), Kansai Airports; Kyushu Railway; Qingdao International Port; Qinhuangdao Ports, China Tower Corp, sale of Chinese provincial toll roads to listed companies
- North America: Hydro One (Canadian electric utility), Ohio River Bridges East End Crossing Public Private Partnership (PPP) by Vinci, NTE and LBJ Express Lane PPPs in Dallas by Ferrovial, 95 and 495 Express Lane PPPs in Virginia by Transurban
- South America: the sale of Brazilian airport and toll road concessions
- Oceania: Aurizon (Australian freight rail firm), Queensland Motorways Ltd, TransGrid (Australian electric transmission company), Meridian Energy and Mercury NZ (New Zealand integrated utilities).


The above list includes 15 new global listed infrastructure companies, as well as increasing the asset base of existing listed infrastructure companies that acquired some of these assets.

Equity needed to grow existing infrastructure businesses

In order to grow existing infrastructure asset bases while maintaining balanced capital structures, listed infrastructure companies have issued large amounts of new equity over the past decade. This has resulted in significant growth in the size of the existing listed equity base of the GLIS asset class.

The main areas of asset growth that have been funded by expanded equity bases include renewable energy; oil & gas pipelines & storage; electricity transmission and distribution buildouts; upgrades of gas distribution networks; Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) export terminals, new toll roads, airport terminal and runway expansions; upgrading freight railway track and rolling stock as well as the expansion and automation of container ports.

GLIS market cap US\$bn



Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

Key GLIS trends over the last decade

Over the past decade GLIS has lived, thrived and survived in a variety of economic and financial market conditions. Following are some of the key trends we have observed in GLIS throughout this past decade.

Earnings resilience and growth

The business models of listed infrastructure companies have, on the whole, delivered resilient and growing earnings streams over the past decade despite the GFC and subsequent sluggish economic recovery. This earnings resilience is due to the essential service nature of the demand, structural volume growth drivers, high degrees of pricing power, strong barriers to entry and high operating margins although do remember that past performance is not indicative of future results.

Structural growth

GLIS companies have delivered a high degree of structural, rather than cyclical, growth over the past decade. This structural growth has come from several areas, notably:

- Catch-up replacement capital expenditure in electric, gas & water utilities
- The growing viability and expansion of renewable energy
- Mobile tower & small cell infrastructure to support society's increasing demand for mobile data
- Airport passengers have grown at 2.6x global Gross Domestic Product (GDP) over the past decade¹⁰
- The North American shale energy revolution, which has required new oil & gas pipeline, storage and export infrastructure
- The globalisation of LNG and Natural Gas Liquids (NGLs) has required significant infrastructure expenditure.

Of course not all structural growth stories played out the way we forecast. Global container trade volumes have disappointed with growth of only 1.7x¹¹ GDP over the past decade (compared to 3.4x GDP for the 30 years prior to 2007).

Governments can interfere but rule of law (usually) prevails

Over the last decade we have witnessed various attempts by governments around the world to interfere with infrastructure assets. We are happy to report that the vast majority of these attempts have failed as political rhetoric has fallen short of economic and judicial reality.

Minimal business model disruption

The speed of business disruption has accelerated to a frightening pace over the past decade. Within the GLIS space however, business model disruption has been minimal. The two main areas in which disruption has occurred are:

- Renewable energy destroying the economics of unregulated power generation assets within integrated utilities
- Over The Top (OTT) television delivered via the internet impacting the pay television video revenue streams of satellite infrastructure companies.

Takeovers add alpha to GLIS

High quality infrastructure assets are scarce. This, combined with the large sums of money that have been raised by private market infrastructure funds, has made GLIS the frequent target of takeovers.

Over the past decade, twelve of our Fund's holdings have been taken over. Of these twelve, seven were acquired by private market infrastructure investors while the other five were industry consolidation transactions. The premiums paid in these takeover transactions added alpha (positive performance) to our GLIS investors.

¹⁰ International Civil Aviation Organization.

¹¹ Alphaliner.

No substitute for on-the-ground research

We believe our greatest risk management tool over the last decade has been on-the-ground research. There is simply no substitute for robust and regular in-country due diligence of management, assets, regulators, customers, suppliers and government bodies.



Source: First State Investments.

What does the next decade hold?

As bottom up stock pickers, we always regard big picture crystal ball gazing with a large degree of apprehension. With that disclaimer, following are a few key issues that we believe will impact GLIS over the next decade.

Higher allocations to liquid real assets

We believe the fund flows into GLIS will continue over the next decade due to:

- Increased demand for low volatility, income producing assets as baby boomers begin to draw down on their retirement savings and put a greater emphasis on capital protection
- Increased penetration of the US pension and retail investor markets by the GLIS asset class
- Increased demand for wealth products, including GLIS, from a growing middle class population in emerging markets
- Increased global demand for liquid real assets as a portfolio diversifier and inflation hedge.

Structural growth


We are as excited today about the structural growth drivers that underpin many GLIS assets as we were 10 years ago. That said, the structural growth drivers for the next decade will not be the same as for the previous one.

Key structural growth themes we believe will be relevant for the GLIS asset class over the next decade include the following:

- A continuation of the replacement cycle of aged infrastructure assets (especially roads, bridges, water, electric & gas utility assets) in many parts of the developed world with greater opportunities in North America relative to Europe and Japan
- Urbanisation and the growth of mega cities will require greater investment in passenger railways, toll roads and essential service utilities
- Economic development and the integration of emerging markets into the global economy will require further infrastructure investment

- China’s ‘One Belt, One Road’ development strategy has the potential to deliver significant infrastructure investments across Eurasia and Africa (albeit we are highly skeptical about some of the returns these projects will achieve)
- We expect airport passenger growth rates to remain at multiples of GDP growth rates, driven by the declining real cost of travel, the growth of the global middle class and an acceleration in baby boomer retirements
- We are still at the early stages of LNG & NGLs becoming a truly globalised industry. More investment in infrastructure will be needed to support this structural change
- We expect renewable energy and battery storage investment will continue to grow over the next decade, albeit at a slower rate due to the law of large numbers and a gradual reduction in subsidy levels
- Mobile communications should remain a strong structural growth area which will require mobile tower and small cell infrastructure to support the exponential increase in wireless network usage.

Crown Castle’s Adjusted Funds From Operations (AFFO)



Source: Company, First State Investments.

De-globalisation and the return of economic nationalism

Brexit and Trump make it hard to ignore the potential impact of rising economic nationalism and de-globalisation, especially when it comes from the two countries – the United Kingdom (UK) and the United States of America (USA) – that have done the most to construct the global liberal order.

In the context of the GLIS asset class, this has the potential to increase political and legal risks if this populism continues or spreads. In the past year we have seen government intervention in electricity and gas markets in countries we usually consider as having low political risk like Australia, Canada, UK and USA.

De-globalisation has the potential to reverse well established trade flows, which in turn could reduce the value of infrastructure assets that support global trade including ports, freight railways and some toll roads.

Disruption


Just because business model disruption in infrastructure has been limited over the last decade, does not mean it won’t happen in the future. We remain alert, but not alarmed, about potential areas of disruption to infrastructure business models posed by issues including:

- The potential effect that distributed generation combined with battery storage may have on electric transmission & distribution grids
- Renewable energy and battery storage are in a virtuous cycle of declining costs and growing market share which will further disrupt the old business models of coal and nuclear power producers as they suffer from increasing costs and lower volumes

- OTT television via the internet will continue to be a major disruptive theme over the next decade for satellites (as well as leading to a generational change in television viewing habits by millennials)
- The rollout of 5G by wireless telecoms in the early 2020s has the potential to take market share away from mobile tower macro sites
- 3D printing may alter global trade flows, which could in turn affect the infrastructure supporting this trade, such as ports and freight railways
- A growing take-up in electric vehicles could adversely impact the oil price which would reduce the value of oil pipeline infrastructure assets
- Autonomous cars could change society’s travel patterns with potential implications – both positive and negative – for toll roads and passenger railways.

As experienced infrastructure specialists, it is our job to understand the impact of these potential future issues and to aim to protect and grow our clients’ wealth.

Growth of renewables US electricity generation by fuel type



Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

New supply of infrastructure assets to GLIS

Over the next decade we see the potential for many significant infrastructure assets to find their way into the GLIS investible opportunity set. In China, high government and State Owned Enterprise (SOE) debt levels could see equity raisings from utilities, passenger railways, toll roads as well as further port consolidation. A large amount of the Canadian utility sector remains in state government hands with the 2015 IPO of Ontario’s Hydro One offering a roadmap to the monetisation of these valuable infrastructure assets. The holy grail of new assets for GLIS remains the USA’s toll roads, airports and ports which are for the most part firmly entrenched in government ownership. However we are seeing some interesting signs in airports with St Louis Lambert International Airport up for privatisation and the announcement of a \$10 billion PPP upgrade of New York’s John F. Kennedy International airport.

The need to be active

Over the next decade we believe active fund management will be more important than ever. Firstly, we believe increasing allocations to passive investment funds will create greater opportunities for experienced, specialist active fund managers like ourselves aim to create alpha. Secondly, as we are eight years into a bull market we believe alpha will become a larger component of investors’ total return over the next decade. Thirdly, we believe that active management reduces risk by focusing on protecting our clients from potential permanent loss of capital (as opposed to index funds which buy more of a stock as its price increases and vice versa). These three factors mean that active management should provide our clients with higher total returns at lower levels of risk.

Strategy performance vs benchmark, by calendar year



First State Global Listed Infrastructure composite, USD, before fees and expenses. Benchmark is the FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 5050 Net TR index (USD) previously UBS.

Source: First State Investments. Data as at 31 October 2018

Performance figures do not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees. A client’s return will be reduced by the investment fees. If a client placed \$100,000 under management and a hypothetical gross return of 10% were achieved, the investment assets before fees would have grown to \$259,374 in 10 years. However, if an advisory fee of 1% were charged, investment assets would have grown to \$234,573, or an annual compounded rate of 8.9%.

Conclusion

Within the relative short time of a decade, GLIS has become a widely recognised mainstream asset class. In our view this is due to the asset class delivering strong risk adjusted returns which have exceeded investor’s expectations. We believe our learnings from the past decade see us well placed to protect and look to grow our clients’ wealth in GLIS over the next decade.

For further investor inquiries contact Randy.Paas@firststateinvestments.com

Important Information

This material is solely for the attention of institutional, professional, qualified or sophisticated investors and distributors who qualify as qualified purchasers under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and as accredited investors under Rule 501 of SEC Regulation D under the US Securities Act of 1933. It is not to be distributed to the general public, private customers or retail investors in any jurisdiction whatsoever.

This presentation is issued by First State Investments (US) LLC (“FSI” or “First State Investments”). The information included within this presentation is furnished on a confidential basis and should not be copied, reproduced or redistributed without the prior written consent of FSI or any of its affiliates.

First State Investments funds are not registered for sale in the US and this document is not an offer for sale of funds to US persons (as such term is used in Regulation S promulgated under the 1933 Act). Fund-specific information has been provided to illustrate First State Investments’ expertise in the strategy. Differences between fund-specific constraints or fees and those of a similarly managed mandate would affect performance results. This material is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute a recommendation, a solicitation, an offer, an advice or an invitation to purchase or sell any fund and should in no case be interpreted as such.

Any investment with First State Investments should form part of a diversified portfolio and be considered a long term investment. Prospective investors should be aware that returns over the short term may not match potential long term returns. Investors should always seek independent financial advice before making any investment decision. The value of an investment and any income from it may go down as well as up. An investor may not get back the amount invested and past performance information is not a guide to future performance, which is not guaranteed.

Certain statements, estimates, and projections in this document may be forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based upon First State Investments’ current assumptions and beliefs, in light of currently available information, but involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual actions or results may differ materially from those discussed. Actual returns can be affected by many factors, including, but not limited to, inaccurate assumptions, known or unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. There is no certainty that current conditions will last, and First State Investments undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement.

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE.

Reference to the names of each company mentioned in this communication is merely for explaining the investment strategy, and should not be construed as investment advice or investment recommendation of those companies. Companies mentioned herein may or may not form part of the holdings of FSI.

The comparative benchmarks or indices referred to herein are for illustrative and comparison purposes only, may not be available for direct investment, are unmanaged, assume reinvestment of income, and have limitations when used for comparison or other purposes because they may have volatility, credit, or other material characteristics (such as number and types of securities) that are different from the funds managed by First State Investments.

Apart from First State Investments, neither the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (the “Bank”) nor any of its subsidiaries are responsible for any statement or information contained in this document. Neither the Bank nor any of its subsidiaries guarantee the performance of any fund or the repayment of capital by any fund. Investments in a fund are not deposits or other liabilities of the Bank or its subsidiaries, and the fund is subject to investment risk, including loss of income and capital invested.

For more information please visit www.firststateinvestments.com. Telephone calls with FSI may be recorded.

MAR000386_1218_US