

For professional clients only

**First State**  
Investments

# FIVE MYTHS OF INFRASTRUCTURE



## **RISK FACTORS**

This document is a financial promotion for The First State Global Listed Infrastructure Strategy. This information is for professional clients only in the EEA and elsewhere where lawful. Investing involves certain risks including:

- The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and are not guaranteed. Investors may get back significantly less than the original amount invested.
- Currency risk: Changes in exchange rates will affect the value of assets which are denominated in other currencies.
- Single sector risk: Investing in a single sector may be riskier than investing in a number of different sectors. Investing in a larger number of sectors helps spread risk.
- Currency hedged share class risk: Hedging transactions are designed to reduce currency risk for investors. There is no guarantee that the hedging will be totally successful or that it can eliminate currency risk entirely.

Reference to specific securities (if any) is included for the purpose of illustration only and should not be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell. Reference to the names of any company is merely to explain the investment strategy and should not be construed as investment advice or a recommendation to invest in any of those companies.

For a full description of the terms of investment and the risks please see the Prospectus and Key Investor Information Document for each Fund.

If you are in any doubt as to the suitability of our funds for your investment needs, please seek investment advice.

# FIRST STATE INVESTMENTS HAS BUILT AN IMPRESSIVE TRACK RECORD IN THE GLOBAL LISTED INFRASTRUCTURE ASSET CLASS.

Despite the extraordinary events since its launch in June 2007 – including the Great Financial Crisis, volatile commodity prices, and political upheaval in many parts of the world – the strategy has delivered strong, consistent returns through a focus on valuation, quality and active management.

This paper discusses five common myths associated with investing in global listed infrastructure.



Listed infrastructure is highly correlated to equities



Infrastructure assets are low growth and will be left behind in rising markets



I already gain exposure to listed infrastructure through traditional equity managers



Infrastructure assets are too highly leveraged and therefore less defensive



Infrastructure is reliant on government funding, which is in short supply

# The First State Global Listed Infrastructure Fund (the Fund) has outperformed its benchmark by a cumulative 56% from inception to 31 December 2017 (B GBP Acc, net of fees). The Fund has also surpassed the MSCI World Index (GBP) by 31% over that period.


The strategy was founded by Peter Meany and Andrew Greenup in 2007 and now manages over US\$8 billion (as at 31 December 2017) on behalf of institutional and wholesale clients. Since inception, the team has engaged with hundreds of investors on the merits of the asset class.

## Fund Performance vs Benchmark



First State Global Listed Infrastructure Fund, Acc GBP net fees. From inception 31 October 2007 to 31 December 2017. FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 Net TR GBP from 1 April 2015, previously UBS.  
Source: First State Investments.

## Fund Performance vs MSCI World



First State Global Listed Infrastructure Fund, Acc GBP net fees. From inception 31 October 2007 to 31 December 2017. MSCI World Net TR GBP.  
Source: First State Investments.

## Annual Performance (% in GBP) to 28 February 2018

Period	12 mths to 28/02/18	12 mths to 28/02/17	12 mths to 29/02/16	12 mths to 28/02/15	12 mths to 28/02/14
<b>First State Global Listed Infrastructure Fund B GBP Acc</b>	<b>-4.8</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>8.6</b>
Fund benchmark*	-4.1	30.1	1.9	19.5	7.1
MSCI World Net Total Return Index	6.0	35.8	-1.3	17.0	10.2

These figures refer to the past. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. For investors based in countries with currencies other than the share class currency, the return may increase or decrease as a result of currency fluctuations.

Performance figures have been calculated since the launch date. Performance data is calculated on a net basis by deducting fees incurred at fund level (e.g. the management and administration fee) and other costs charged to the fund (e.g. transaction and custody costs), save that it does not take account of initial charges or switching fees (if any). Income reinvested is included on a net of tax basis. Source: Lipper IM / First State Investments (UK) Limited. \*The Fund's benchmark has been the FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 Index (Net TR, GBP) since 1 April 2015. Prior to that date it was the UBS Global Infrastructure & Utilities 50/50 Index (Net TR, GBP).




# Myth 1:

## Listed infrastructure is highly correlated to equities

Infrastructure assets exhibit unique characteristics which have become increasingly relevant in the current economic environment. They provide essential goods or services to society that have a low level of sensitivity to the economic cycle, using contracted or regulated price structures which can provide an inflation hedge.

Global listed infrastructure assets tend to complement other typical constituents of an investment portfolio, meaning that allocating listed infrastructure assets to an investment portfolio can improve its risk/return profile. Global listed infrastructure has delivered higher returns with lower risk than general equities over the past 15 years, as illustrated in the chart below.

### Global Listed Infrastructure is highly correlated to equities




FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 Net TR Index GBP from Dec-05, previously Macquarie. MSCI World Net TR GBP. Monthly data for 15 years to Dec-17.

Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

The sector has also proven to be defensive during periods of market volatility. In the past 15 years, the sector has provided over 80% of the upside in rising global equity markets but under 60% of the downside in falling markets.

### Global Infrastructure in MSCI World Up/Down Markets



FTSE Global Core Infrastructure 50/50 Net TR Index GBP from Dec-05, previously Macquarie. MSCI World Net TR GBP. Monthly data for 15 years to Dec-17.

Source: Bloomberg and First State Investments.

Global listed infrastructure may be suitable for investors who are looking for a more defensive investment during times of market volatility. Global listed infrastructure may also suit investors who are concerned about the impact of inflation and aim to ensure that their investments maintain and grow in real terms.




# Myth 2:

## Infrastructure assets are low growth and will be left behind in rising markets

Infrastructure companies often generate growth from structural rather than cyclical drivers. Long-term trends like urban congestion, globalisation of trade, security of energy supplies, and mobility of communications have placed enormous strain on infrastructure networks and will require investment over many decades. Backed by the right business model, this investment has the potential to deliver strong capital growth for investors.

A number of infrastructure sectors are currently delivering double-digit growth due to structural change. Mobile towers have been a beneficiary of the exponential growth in smart phone usage and the resulting pressures on mobile carriers to improve network quality. American Tower has been increasing rental charges, co-locating new tenants on existing sites and building or acquiring new sites. Strict planning restrictions and community opposition to new tower sites represent effective barriers to entry. This has underpinned stable earnings growth at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 15% over the 10 years to 2016, with further 4G and then 5G rollout to come.

### American Tower 2006-2016 EBITDA<sup>1</sup> growth (US\$m)




Source: First State Investments, American Tower.

<sup>1</sup> Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

Listed infrastructure also offers exposure to sectors which have the potential to benefit from improving economic growth, such as ports and freight rail. Union Pacific, the largest North American Class I freight rail company, owns and operates a 32,000 mile network of railroads covering the western two thirds of the United States. The company effectively operates as a duopoly in its service territory, alongside Warren Buffet-owned BNSF.

The company has been a beneficiary of volume recovery as the US economy has improved. Earnings growth has been driven significantly by price increases and by improvements in operating efficiency. In addition to this, the company is under-levered, giving it the ability to buy back shares. In total, this combination of cyclical tailwinds and operational improvements enabled Union Pacific to achieve an Earnings per Share (EPS) compound annual growth rate of over 15% pa as markets rose between 2009 and 2016.

### Union Pacific 2009-2016 CAGR in EPS



Source: Union Pacific, First State Investments.



# Myth 3:

## I already gain exposure to listed infrastructure through traditional equity managers

Many global equity managers may hold 2% or less of their portfolio in infrastructure assets, and this exposure could be concentrated amongst a small number of large, well-known utility names. However, much of the alpha generated in our diversified portfolio has come from mid cap stocks, which are under-researched by global equity managers, such as toll roads, oil storage, mobile towers and water utilities.

Listed infrastructure is an emerging asset class that is currently under-researched and not always well understood. This is similar to the situation we saw 20 years ago with listed property trusts, although we believe that the risk/return characteristics of listed infrastructure are superior to those of property, due to long-term structural growth drivers like urbanisation, globalisation of trade, mobilisation of data and security of energy supplies.

It is important to view investments in listed infrastructure from a global perspective. The global listed universe is capitalised at over US\$2 trillion, allowing investors to diversify across various infrastructure sectors and geographies and to actively manage key risks.

A good example is the airport sector. Sydney Airport is one of the world's leading airports in terms of profitability by passenger, but this is widely recognised and already fully reflected in the stock price – it trades at a 18x EV/EBITDA multiple. Alternatively, our Fund can buy shares in Spanish airport operator AENA, which is currently trading at 12x EV/EBITDA. At these levels we believe that AENA represents a better investment for our clients' money.

Infrastructure is a separate asset class for many pension funds, endowment funds, sovereign wealth funds, private banks and multi-managers from around the world. Early movers are typically allocating between 5% and 10% to this asset class.

We have already received strong demand from private investors searching for an alternative to traditional asset classes. They recognise the need to move out of low yielding bonds into equities, but in many cases are wary of volatility. Listed infrastructure, with its combination of possible inflation protected income and the potential of steady capital growth, offers a way of doing this.



# Myth 4:

## Infrastructure assets are too highly leveraged and therefore less defensive

There is no doubt that extreme levels of debt will change the characteristics of equity. A handful of infrastructure stocks, notably in Australia and Spain, created inappropriate capital structures (70-90% leverage) in the mid 2000's when debt was cheap and plentiful. The one thing these companies had in common was poor alignment – investment banks or construction companies were often incentivised on a short-term deal or project rather than on the long-term viability of the investment.

It is important to note that these companies represented less than 5% of our global investment universe. The vast majority of infrastructure stocks are traditional companies, sensibly leveraged (30-50%) and run for shareholders. In recent years, they have strengthened balance sheets by reducing costs and repaying debt. We have also seen widespread refinancing of existing debt, to lock in reduced rates and diversify funding sources. The wave of share buybacks and bolt-on acquisitions suggest that many management teams now feel they are under leveraged.

Some poorly aligned companies took a back-to-basics approach. Australian toll road operator Transurban is a great example. Following changes in the Board and senior management earlier this decade, the company raised equity to repay debt, cut the dividend to sustainable levels, halved corporate costs and refocused on its core assets. Having undertaken these measures, Transurban became a key portfolio holding and delivered a total return of over 20% pa in the six years to September 2017.

Today, global listed infrastructure companies are in sound financial positions. The cash yields of infrastructure sectors are higher than dividend yields, implying scope for payout ratios to be raised. This is especially the case for railroads and toll roads. This balance sheet strength suggests many companies have the potential to carry out share buy-backs, or to engage in merger and acquisition activity in order to boost earnings and dividends.

### Infrastructure Yields by Sector



Source: First State Investments, Bloomberg.



# Myth 5:

## Infrastructure is reliant on government funding, which is in short supply

Following years of underinvestment, a significant amount of infrastructure investment is now needed globally. Rough estimates from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) suggest that annual investment requirements for road, rail, electricity (transmission and distribution), telecommunications and water are likely to total around an average of 2.5% of world GDP (roughly US\$53 trillion to 2030)<sup>2</sup>. Economic growth for a number of nations has been hindered in by critical infrastructure bottlenecks, particularly in the transport sector.

However, while scarce government funding is problematic for societies in need of infrastructure upgrades, it is less of an issue for listed infrastructure companies.

The listed infrastructure investment universe consists of mature, established businesses. These companies provide essential services and generate predictable cash flows underpinned by regulated or contracted business models. Regulatory frameworks often encourage infrastructure companies to invest to maintain or improve their existing assets, and allow them to earn a return on money spent in this way. Under this model, infrastructure companies are incentivised to provide better and more efficient services; with no reliance on government help.

We have seen a lack of government funding lead to promising investment opportunities for listed infrastructure investors. Financially distressed governments have felt the need to divest assets, including valuable infrastructure, to help maintain financial stability. Airports have been listed on public markets or sold to listed companies in Japan, France, Spain and Greece; as have ports in China, Germany, Dubai and Greece. Australian state governments have sold port, toll road and electricity transmission assets to private operators.


A good example of this theme is AENA, the world's largest airport operator. The company handles approximately 200 million passengers per year, primarily at 46 Spanish airports including hubs at Madrid and Barcelona. Previously wholly owned by the Spanish government, a 49% stake was IPO'd in early 2015. Since then the stock has risen strongly as robust passenger growth, limited capital expenditure requirements and improving commercial earnings have propelled its share price higher.

Looking ahead, the successful IPO of Ontario's Hydro One offers a roadmap to the monetisation of other Canadian utilities, many of which remain in state government hands. Brazil is in the midst of a major privatisation program of toll roads, ports, railroads, airports and utilities.

Other significant potential transactions include the planned IPO of China's mobile tower assets, whereby China's three main telecom companies (China Mobile, Unicom, China Telecom) would spin off their tower assets into a new, separate entity ("China Tower Corp"). China Tower Corp would be responsible for the construction, maintenance and operation of China's mobile tower networks, positioning it to gain from the structural growth in demand for mobile data that US-listed peers American Tower and Crown Castle are already benefitting from.

In addition to this, the listed infrastructure investment universe is benefitting from a wave of corporate restructurings. Many infrastructure assets reside within large, vertically integrated corporations and conglomerates. Companies are increasingly looking to streamline and optimise their capital structures. This has often led to the divestment of infrastructure assets - highly valuable in their own right, but deemed peripheral by a larger entity.

### Market Cap \$bn



Source: Bloomberg, First State Investments.

Combined, these themes of government privatisation and corporate restructuring have resulted in significant expansion, as well as improvements in quality and diversification, for the listed infrastructure asset class in recent years.

<sup>2</sup> OECD 'Infrastructure to 2030' Report.

## Important Information

This document has been prepared for informational purposes only and is only intended to provide a summary of the subject matter covered. It does not purport to be comprehensive. The views expressed are the views of the writer at the time of issue and may change over time. It does not constitute investment advice and/or a recommendation and should not be used as the basis of any investment decision. This document is not an offer document and does not constitute an offer or invitation or investment recommendation to distribute or purchase securities, shares, units or other interests or to enter into an investment agreement. No person should rely on the content and/or act on the basis of any material contained in this document.

This document is confidential and must not be copied, reproduced, circulated or transmitted, in whole or in part, and in any form or by any means without our prior written consent. The information contained within this document has been obtained from sources that we believe to be reliable and accurate at the time of issue but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy, or completeness of the information. We do not accept any liability whatsoever for any loss arising directly or indirectly from any use of this information.

References to “we” or “us” are references to First State Investments.

In the UK, issued by First State Investments (UK) Limited which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (registration number 143359). Registered office Finsbury Circus House, 15 Finsbury Circus, London, EC2M 7EB number 2294743. Outside the UK within the EEA, this document is issued by First State Investments International Limited which is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (registered number 122512). Registered office: 23 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, EH2 1BB number SCO79063.

Certain funds referred to in this document are identified as sub-funds of First State Investments ICVC, an open ended investment company registered in England and Wales (“OEIC”) or of First State Global Umbrella Fund, an umbrella investment company registered in Ireland (“VCC”). Further information is contained in the Prospectus and Key Investor Information Documents of the OEIC and VCC which are available free of charge by writing to: Client Services, First State Investments (UK) Limited, Finsbury Circus House, 15 Finsbury Circus, London, EC2M 7EB or by telephoning 0800 587 4141 between 9am and 5pm Monday to Friday or by visiting [www.firststateinvestments.com](http://www.firststateinvestments.com). Telephone calls may be recorded. The distribution or purchase of shares in the funds, or entering into an investment agreement with First State Investments may be restricted in certain jurisdictions.

Representative and Paying Agent in Switzerland: The representative and paying agent in Switzerland is BNP Paribas Securities Services, Paris, succursale de Zurich, Selnaustrasse 16, 8002 Zurich, Switzerland. Place where the relevant documentation may be obtained: The prospectus, key investor information documents (KIIDs), the instrument of incorporation as well as the annual and semi-annual reports may be obtained free of charge from the representative in Switzerland.

First State Investments (UK) Limited and First State Investments International Limited are part of Colonial First State Asset Management (“CFSGAM”) which is the consolidated asset management division of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia ABN 48 123 123 124. CFSGAM includes a number of entities in different jurisdictions, operating in Australia as CFSGAM and as First State Investments elsewhere. The Commonwealth Bank of Australia (“Bank”) and its subsidiaries do not guarantee the performance of any investment or entity referred to in this document or the repayment of capital. Any investments referred to are not deposits or other liabilities of the Bank or its subsidiaries, and are subject to investment risk including loss of income and capital invested.